

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,448	12/30/1999	STUART LEE BRESLOW	4034-46	7867
75	90 01/11/2005		EXAM	INER
LESLIE GLADSTONE RESTAINO ESQ.			RUDY, ANDREW J	
BROWN RAYS	SMAN MILLSTEIN FEI	LDER & STEINER LLP	<del></del>	
163 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 1989			3627	
MODDISTOW	N NI 07062_1080			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/476,448	BRESLOW ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 November 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	·					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The correlation between the Exhibits and claim language is not clear, e.g. "Exhibit E, pg. 19, a connectin server, e.g. proxy server, web server, brokerage access server, edgeserver, etc are not readably apparent from the drawing figure and associated words. Likewise, from claim 16, no "bonds" are found in the descriptive portion of the Exhibits A or C. Similar problems arise from the other Exhibits. If Applicant pursues this analysis more concise support for the claim language in juxtaposition to the Exhibits is required. Also, if this approach ultimately proves successful, Applicant will have to address the issue of reduction to practice in association with their filing date. Otherwise, a statutory time bar will be entertained.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner, AU 3627

703-308-7808